

Paul J. Riehle, Bar No. 115199
paul.riehle@faegredrinker.com
FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH
LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, 27th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 591-7500

Gary A. Bornstein (*pro hac vice*)
gbornstein@cravath.com
CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
375 Ninth Avenue
New York, New York 10001
Telephone: (212) 474-1000

Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.

Glenn D. Pomerantz, Bar No. 112503
glenn.pomerantz@mto.com
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 683-9100

Brian C. Rocca, Bar No. 221576
brian.rocca@morganlewis.com
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
One Market, Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, CA 94105-1596
Telephone: (415) 442-1000

Counsel for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case
No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD

Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

**STATUS REPORT REGARDING
PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

Judge: Hon. James Donato

1 At the Court’s direction, Defendants Google LLC et al. (“Google”) and Plaintiff Epic
2 Games, Inc. (“Epic”; collectively, “The Parties”) respectfully submit this “status report on the
3 implementation of the injunction.” Dkt. No. 1130 (Dec. 1, 2025).¹

4 The Parties previously submitted a Joint Statement Regarding Permanent Injunction,
5 which, at the Court’s direction, described “implementation steps and a timeline with milestone
6 dates for the permanent injunction.” Dkt. No. 1118 (Oct. 29, 2025). The Joint Statement
7 described Google’s compliance, as of October 29, 2025, with each of the provisions of the
8 injunction.

9 On December 9, 2025, Google announced details regarding its developer programs for in-
10 app alternative billing² and for external links to transactions and downloads.³ Google has
11 announced that developers wishing to avail themselves of in-app alternative billing and/or links to
12 transactions and downloads must comply with certain requirements, such as complying with the
13 Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI-DSS) if handling credit and debit card data,
14 providing customer support for users of the alternative billing system, and integrating with the
15 requisite APIs, by January 28, 2026. Epic announced that it supports the requirements Google
16 will require developers to comply with by January 28. Google also announced, as part of these
17 programs, certain service fees that Google intends to apply in the future in connection with these
18 programs. Google has indicated that it will not apply these services fees at this time. Epic has
19 indicated that it opposes the service fees that Google announced it may implement in the future
20 and that Epic will challenge these fees if they come into effect. The Parties therefore do not have
21 any present disputes regarding Google’s compliance with the injunction. Google will notify Epic
22 in advance of implementing any fees for these programs in the United States so that any disputes
23

24 ¹ All references to Dkt. No. are to the MDL docket, *In re Google Play Store Antitrust Litig.*, No.
25 3:21-md-02981-JD, unless otherwise noted.

26 ² See *Offering an alternative billing system for users in the United States*,
<https://support.google.com/googleplay/android-developer/answer/16497028?hl=en> (last visited
27 Dec. 11, 2025).

28 ³ See *Enrolling in the external content links program for users in the US*,
<https://support.google.com/googleplay/android-developer/answer/16470497?hl=en> (last visited
Dec. 11, 2025).

1 can be raised with the Court.

2 With respect to Paragraphs 11 (catalog access) and 12 (distribution of third-party app
3 stores on Google Play), Google has until July 22, 2026, to implement the technology necessary to
4 comply with these paragraphs. Google is working on its plans for implementation of Paragraphs
5 11 and 12 and will share those plans with Epic on a timing and cadence determined by the
6 Technical Committee, but no later than March 31, 2026.

7
8 DATED: December 19, 2025

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

9 Glenn D. Pomerantz
10 Kuruvilla Olasa
11 Jonathan I. Kravis
12 Justin P. Raphael
13 Dane P. Shikman
14 Lauren N. Beck

15 By: */s/ Kuruvilla Olasa*

16
17

Kuruvilla Olasa

18 *Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.*

19
20 DATED: December 19, 2025

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

21 Brian C. Rocca
22 Sujal J. Shah
23 Michelle Park Chiu
24 Leigha Beckman

25 By: */s/ Brian C. Rocca*

26
27

Brian C. Rocca

28 *Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.*

DATED: December 19, 2025

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

Gary A. Bornstein (*pro hac vice*)
Yonatan Even (*pro hac vice*)
Lauren A. Moskowitz (*pro hac vice*)
Michael J. Zaken (*pro hac vice*)
M. Brent Byars (*pro hac vice*)

FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199)

By: */s/ Gary A. Bornstein*

Gary A. Bornstein

Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.

CIVIL L.R. 5-1(i)(3) ATTESTATION

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the filer of this document attests that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.

By: */s/ Kuruvilla Olas*

Kuruvilla Olas